



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,682	01/06/2006	Mark T. Gladwin	4239-67618-05	3411
36218	7590	04/28/2010	EXAMINER	
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP 121 S.W. SALMON STREET SUITE #1600 PORTLAND, OR 97204-2988				ARNOLD, ERNST V
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1616				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			04/28/2010	
			ELECTRONIC	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

tanya.harding@klarquist.com  
docketing@klarquist.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/563,682	GLADWIN ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
ERNST V. ARNOLD	1616	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) ERNST V. ARNOLD.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Tanya Harding.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 April 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ernst V Arnold/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After expiration of the six month statutory period the Examiner contacted Tanya Harding and requested a status update on this case. Tanya Harding replied in a voicemail that the case has intentionally gone abandoned in favor of CON 12/748184 filed on 3/26/10..